



AT  
JAN

H10004RAR  
Customer No. 01333

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

Thomas R. Hull, et al.

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
VISUAL REPRESENTATION AND  
MANIPULATION OF PAGE  
FEATURES ON A PRODUCTION  
PRINTER

Serial No. 09/684,126

Filed 06 October 2000

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

Group Art Unit: 2179

Examiner: Nhon D. Nguyen

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
deposited today with the United States Postal  
Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed  
to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Debra Nowacki*  
Debra Nowacki

*June 30, 2005*  
Date

*Do not enter  
NN 7/22/05*

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Final  
Rejection dated May 10, 2005..

**Paragraph 4 of the Office Action:**

Claims 56, 59, 62, 65, 68, 70 and 71 are rejected as being obvious  
over Livingston in view of Keyworth et al. The rejection is based on the  
Examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious to modify Livingston's  
system in view of the teachings of Keyworth et al. The rejection is respectfully  
traversed for the reasons set forth immediately below.

According to the Examiner, "Livingston does not disclose [that] the  
GUI displays more than one page representation simultaneously." The Examiner  
notes that the suggested combination would provide the features missing from the  
basic reference, and concludes that such combination would have been obvious.  
This conclusion is respectfully traversed.